16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SKYLARK INVESTMENT PROPERTIES, LLC, and Arizona limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

NAVIGATORS INSURANCE COMPANY; a New York corporation; NIC INSURANCE COMPANY, a business organization of an unknown form; and DOES 1 through 10,

Defendants.

Case No. 09cv1435-JM (BLM)

ORDER GRANTING JOINT MOTION TO CONTINUE MANDATORY SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE AND NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY DEADLINE

[Doc. No. 24]

On February 11, 2010, parties to the above matter filed a joint motion to continue the Mandatory Settlement Conference and the non-expert discovery deadline. Doc. No. 24. Good cause appearing, the parties' joint motion is **GRANTED** as follows:

1. A Mandatory Settlement Conference shall be conducted on April 1, 2010 at 2:00 p.m. in the chambers of Magistrate Judge Barbara L. Major located at 940 Front Street, Suite 5140, San Diego, CA 92101. All discussions at the Mandatory Settlement Conference will be informal, off the record, privileged, and confidential. Counsel for any non-English

speaking party is responsible for arranging for the appearance of an interpreter at the conference.

a. Personal Appearance of Parties Required: All parties, adjusters for insured defendants, and other representatives of a party having full and complete authority to enter into a binding settlement, as well as the principal attorneys responsible for the litigation, must be present in person and legally and factually prepared to discuss settlement of the case. Counsel appearing without their clients (whether or not counsel has been given settlement authority) will be cause for immediate imposition of sanctions and may also result in the immediate termination of the conference.

Unless there are extraordinary circumstances, persons required to attend the conference pursuant to this Order shall not be excused from personal attendance. Requests for excuse from attendance for extraordinary circumstances shall be made in writing at least three court days prior to the conference. Failure to appear in person at the Mandatory Settlement Conference will be grounds for sanctions.

b. <u>Full Settlement Authority Required</u>: In addition to counsel who will try the case, a party or party representative with <u>full settlement authority</u> must be present for the conference. In the case

-2-

[&]quot;Full settlement authority" means that the individuals at the settlement conference must be authorized to explore settlement options fully and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. Heileman Brewing Co. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir. 1989). The person needs to have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of a party. Pitman v. Brinker Int'l, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003). The purpose of requiring a person with unlimited settlement authority to attend the conference contemplates that the person's view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Id. at 486. A limited or a sum certain of authority is not adequate. See Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 595-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

- of a corporate entity, an authorized representative of the corporation who is not retained outside counsel must be present and must have discretionary authority to commit the company to pay an amount up to the amount of Plaintiff's prayer (excluding punitive damages prayers). The purpose of this requirement is to have representatives present who can settle the case during the course of the conference without consulting a superior. Counsel for a government entity may be excused from this requirement so long as the government attorney who attends the Mandatory Settlement Conference (1) has primary responsibility for handling the case, and (2) may negotiate settlement offers which the attorney is willing to recommend to the government official having ultimate settlement authority.
- c. <u>Confidential Settlement Statements Required</u>: No later than <u>March 25, 2010</u>, the parties shall submit directly to Magistrate Judge Major's chambers confidential settlement statements no more than five pages in length. <u>These confidential statements shall not be filed or served on opposing counsel</u>. Each party's confidential statement must include the following:
- (i) A brief description of the case, the claims and/or counterclaims asserted, and the applicable defenses or position regarding the asserted claims;
- (ii) A specific and current demand or offer for settlement addressing all relief or remedies sought. If a specific demand or offer for settlement cannot be made at the time the brief is submitted, then the reasons therefore must be stated along with a statement as to when the party will be in a position to state a demand or make an offer; and
 - (iii) A brief description of any previous settlement

negotiations, mediation sessions, or mediation efforts.

General statements that a party will "negotiate in good faith" is not a specific demand or offer contemplated by this Order. It is assumed that all parties will negotiate in good faith.

Any request to continue the Mandatory Settlement Conference:
Any request to continue the Mandatory Settlement Conference or request for relief from any of the provisions or requirements of this Order must be sought by a <u>written ex parte application</u>. The application must (1) be supported by a declaration of counsel setting forth the reasons and justifications for the relief requested, (2) confirm compliance with Civil Local Rule 83.3(h), and (3) report the position of opposing counsel or any unrepresented parties subject to the Order. Absent extraordinary circumstances, requests for continuances will not be considered unless submitted in writing no fewer than seven days prior to the scheduled conference.

If the case is settled in its entirety before the scheduled date of the conference, counsel and any unrepresented parties must still appear in person, unless a written joint notice confirming the complete settlement of the case is filed no fewer than twenty-four hours before the scheduled conference.

2. Non-expert discovery shall be completed by all parties on or before <u>May 15, 2010</u>. "Completed" means that all discovery under Rules 30-36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and discovery subpoenas under Rule 45, must be initiated a sufficient period of time in advance of the cut-off date, so that it may be completed by the cut-off date, taking into account the times for service, notice, and response as set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Counsel shall promptly and in good faith meet and confer with

regard to all discovery disputes in compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a)(1) and Civil Local Rule 26.1(a). All discovery motions shall be filed within thirty days after counsel have met and conferred and reached an impasse with regard to any particular discovery issue, but in no event shall discovery motions be filed more than sixty days after the date upon which the event giving rise to the discovery dispute occurred. For oral discovery, the event giving rise to the discovery dispute is the completion of the transcript of the affected portion of the deposition. For written discovery, the event giving rise to the discovery dispute is either the service of the response, or, if no response was served, the initial date the response was due. In addition, all discovery motions must be filed within thirty days after the close of discovery.

3. All other dates and deadlines shall remain as previously set. See doc. no. 18.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 11, 2010

BARBARA L. MAJOR United States Magistrate Judge